

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/770,673	01/29/2001	Shinichi Takahashi	041514-5106	5427
	90 09/23/2002			
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW			EXAMINER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20004			MENEFEE, JAMES A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2828	
			DATE MAILED: 09/23/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)			
•	09/770,673	TAKAHASHI ET AL.			
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	James A. Menefee	2828			
- The MAILING DATE f this communication Period f r Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	TION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO.	reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>04 September 2002</u> .				
	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice of Disposition of Claims	allowance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application	ation.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		0 08-			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.		Paul Sp			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		PAUL IP			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a Application Papers	and/or election requirement.	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	minor				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		L. e			
Applicant may not request that any objection					
11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on (	04 Sentember 2002 is: a\⊠ and				
11)☑ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>04 September 2002</u> is: a)☑ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	a and and a				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reian priority under 25 H C C s	2 440(-) (-) (0			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	roigh phonty under 55 0.5.C. (	3 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docur	nents have been received				
2. Certified copies of the priority docum					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationa</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a</li> </ul>	il Bureau (PCT Rule 17 2(a))				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	nestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application)			
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language</li> <li>15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don</li> </ul>	provisional application has be	en received			
ttachment(s)					
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) ) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	) 5)   Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  formal Patent Application (PTO-152) .			
Patent and Trademark Office O-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 8			
	<b></b>	FAU DI PARALNA X			

Application/Control Number: 09/770,673 Page 2

Art Unit: 2828

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

In response to the amendment filed 4 September 2002, claims 1 and 4 are amended. Claims 1-5 are pending.

### **Drawings**

The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on 4 September 2002 have been approved. A proper drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The correction to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The Patent and Trademark Office no longer makes drawing changes. See 1017 O.G. 4. It is applicant's responsibility to ensure that the drawings are corrected. Corrections must be made in accordance with the instructions below.

# INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

# 1. Correction of Informalities -- 37 CFR 1.85

New corrected drawings must be filed with the changes incorporated therein. Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and centered within the top margin. If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the "Notice of Allowability." Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability. The drawings should be filed as a separate paper with a transmittal letter addressed to the Official Draftsperson.

2. Corrections other than Informalities Noted by Draftsperson on form PTO-948.

Application/Control Number: 09/770,673

Art Unit: 2828

All changes to the drawings, other than informalities noted by the Draftsperson, MUST be made in the same manner as above except that, normally, a highlighted (preferably red ink) sketch of the changes to be incorporated into the new drawings MUST be approved by the examiner before the application will be allowed. No changes will be permitted to be made, other than correction of informalities, unless the examiner has approved the proposed changes.

### **Timing of Corrections**

Applicant is required to submit acceptable corrected drawings within the time period set in the Office action. See 37 CFR 1.185(a). Failure to take corrective action within the set (or extended) period will result in **ABANDONMENT** of the application.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka.

Tanaka discloses the claimed limitations as follows:

Regarding claims 1 and 4, Tanaka discloses an optical pickup apparatus comprising a plurality of light emitting diodes LD1,LD3 formed on a substrate 1 for emitting laser beams in

Application/Control Number: 09/770,673

Art Unit: 2828

the same emitting direction, and since each has a different purpose then they inherently have different wavelengths. LD1 and LD3 are offset from each other, i.e. respective light emitting points of said plurality of light emitting portions are located at different positions in the emitting direction. The system is part of an optical system for guiding the emitted laser beams to a surface of a recording medium and guiding a laser beam reflected by the recording medium to a photo sensing device. It is not disclosed that the emitted laser beams correspond to different types of recording medium. It is well known that optical pickups are used to read media such as CDs and DVDs, and it is known to use a single optical pickup with two different beams to read/write on these media. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to make Tanaka's optical pickup device read/write CDs and DVDs as this is typical in an optical pickup. Thus, lasers LD1 and LD3 will correspond to different types of recording medium.

Regarding claims 2 and 5, it is not disclosed that the laser diode emitting the shorter wavelength beam be more forward on the substrate, therefore being a part of the shorter optical path, like LD1 of Tanaka. It is well known that optical pickups are used to read media such as CDs and DVDs, and it is known to use a single optical pickup with two different beams to read/write on these media. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to make Tanaka's optical pickup device read/write CDs and DVDs as this is typical in an optical pickup. This would be accomplished by making the wavelengths emitted by LD1 and LD3 as appropriate, and therefore the wavelengths of LD1 and LD3 would be as claimed.

Regarding claim 3, the plurality of light emitting portions LD1,LD3 are located on one surface of the substrate 1, and a common electrode 24 is located on the other surface of said substrate 1.

Art Unit: 2828

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 4 September 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant makes the following arguments:

- 1. "Tanaka does not teach or suggest that the laser beams emitted from the three light emitting parts L1 to L3 have different wavelengths."
- 2. "Tanaka does not teach or suggest that the three light emitting parts L1to L3 are arranged to 'correspond to different types of recording medium'".

Regarding argument 1, the intended use of the three lasers L1 to L3 is not related to this argument. Regardless of what the lasers are being used for, they perform different functions and therefore will have different wavelengths. Further, should the lasers be modified such that they correspond to different types of recording medium, as deemed obvious above, then they will necessarily have different wavelengths.

Regarding argument 2, this newly added limitation has been deemed obvious. See the above rejection of claims 1 and 4.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Application/Control Number: 09/770,673

Art Unit: 2828

final action.

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James A. Menefee whose telephone number is (703) 605-4367. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on (703) 308-3098. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

JM September 17, 2002 PAUL IP SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Paulde

Page 6